Topical diclofenac: Clinical effectiveness and current uses in osteoarthritis of the knee and soft tissue injuries.
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Background: Diclofenac is a commonly used non steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) for symptom control in osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee and soft tissue injuries. Although treatment with oral diclofenac is associated with serious adverse effects involving both the gastrointestinal and renal systems, these adverse effects are thought to be limited with topical diclofenac formulations without loss of efficacy. 

Objective: the aim of this review is to explore the available evidence in relation to the pharmacokinetics, efficacy and reported adverse effects of the topical diclofenac formulations available. 

Results/conclusions: In the majority of studies examined, topical diclofenac formulations with sodium lotion, lecithin or epolamine gel, patch or plaster were either superior or equivalent to oral diclofenac formulations or placebo. Topical diclofenac significantly reduced pain and morning stiffness and improved physical function and patient global assessment without major adverse effects reported in patients with OA of the knee and provided significant pain relief in patients with sports and soft tissue injuries involving the ankle, knee or shoulder. In the majority of studies reported the predominant adverse effect involved pruritus or rash at the site of application or nausea. The principle outcome of these studies is that topical diclofenac is a safe and practical alternative as a method of treatment in OA of the knee or as an alternative treatment for sports and soft tissue injury.
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Introduction

Historically NSAIDs have been used to assist the resolution of pain in conditions of OA of the knee and sports and soft tissue injuries. A major drawback of using oral forms of NSAIDs such as diclofenac is the high incidence of major adverse effects such as gastrointestinal bleeding, gastric ulceration and renal disease implications. The use of topical formulations of diclofenac is thought to be as efficacious as oral formulations without the risk of systemic side effects. Topical diclofenac is thought to reduce inflammation via inhibition of the COX 2 isoenzyme. This review addresses the current evidence of the efficacy of topical formulations of diclofenac for treatment of OA of the knee and soft tissue and sports injury.

Overview

Musculoskeletal conditions range from intra articular disorders such as rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis, injuries that involve simple ligaments such as sprains and extra articular joint disorders such as fibromyalgia and myofascial pain. In the UK, ankle sprains are a common soft tissue injury and occur in 53 per 10,000 population [1]. By comparison osteoarthritis (OA) is a common joint disorder. Although radiographic evidence of OA is common in persons aged 65 years and over [2] often the severity of symptoms does not correlate well with pathogenic alterations viewed radiographically [3]. The knee joint is a common site for the development of OA [4].
Up to 11% of older people present with relevant clinical symptoms of OA of the knee along with variable forms of disability and long–term pain that requires symptom management [5]. It is postulated that OA will become a global cause of disability in patients by the year 2020 [6].

OA is generally thought of as a disorder of middle–aged and older people. Commonly affected sites include: hip, knee and spine [7]. Typical symptoms include: joint pain in and around the joint site, morning stiffness lasting up to 30 minutes, loss of function, immobility and joint instability. Onset of symptoms is insidious. Pain is generally worse during motion and can be alleviated by rest. Patients with OA of the knee may complain of alterations to the gait and often experience a variety of forms of pain varying from a sharp pain to a dull constant ache [7].

Pain is a complex sensory process which is related to specific tissue damage. During trauma to the skin, blood vessels release inflammatory mediators such as prostaglandins, neuropeptides such as substance P and calcitonin-gene related peptide. These substances act as a stimulus and cause peripheral nociceptor C and Aδ fibers to depolarize. This leads to the transmission of signals (signal transduction) via the dorsal horn to the cerebrum. During inflammation there is a hyperresponsive response whereby signal transmission to the dorsal horn does not require a stimulus. The hyperresponsive response can be controlled by NSAIDs [8].

In OA of the knee the diagnostic classification criteria include: knee pain and osteophytes on X ray and at least one of the following: crepitus (irregularity of opposing cartilage surfaces) on motion, morning stiffness lasting 30 minutes and age
over 50 years [9]. These additional characteristics are required because pathogenic changes on X ray may occur without the patient demonstrating symptoms of pain.

The pathogenesis of OA is illustrated by degenerative changes to the articular cartilage of joints, new bone or osteophyte formation, loss of joint space between bone endings and sclerotic alterations to subchondral bone. With the erosion of the articular cartilage, nociceptors become sensitized leading to chronic pain [10]. Pain can result from the stretching of the adjacent periosteum, growth of osteophytes, presence of microfractures, intraosseous pressure and synovitis [11]. The involvement of peri-articular tissues can also lead to joint pain, limitations in movement and disability [12,13]. Pain can arise from numerous sites; subchondral bone, periosteum, joint capsule, synovial membrane, peri-articular muscles and ligaments.

Numerous cytokines have been implicated in the pathogenesis of OA. Interleukin -1 tumour necrosis factor \( \beta \) are thought to activate enzymes associated with the proteolytic digestion of cartilage [14]. Insulin growth factor 1 and tissue growth factor \( \beta \) may be involved in cartilage synthesis and repair processes [15]. OA develops when cartilage catabolism exceeds the process of cartilage synthesis. The cartilage catabolic process is maintained by several collagenolytic enzymes:

- Fibroblast collagenase 1 or matrix metalloproteinase -1
- Neutrophil collagenase2 or matrix metalloproteinase -2
- Collagenase 3.
Treatment options include non-pharmacological and pharmacological approaches. Non-pharmacological approaches include weight reduction, exercise, patient education and joint support. Pharmacological approaches include the use of regular analgesics such as paracetamol to control pain and symptoms, if joint effusion is present patients may need intra-articular injections of corticosteroids. For uncontrolled pain and symptom control the recent EULAR recommendations suggest that Non Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs (NSAIDs) can be used as apart of pain management or patients with OA of the knee. NSAIDs can also be used to manage peri-articular and extra articular joint disorders such as tendinopathies and could also be used to treat fibromyalgia [16].

A drawback of using NSAIDs is the severity of side effects affecting the gastrointestinal tract, renal complications and cardiotoxicity. Topical NSAIDs are postulated to produce fewer side effects especially cardiac related effects and better tolerability [17] as they are able to reach the target site either via cutaneous penetration or systemic delivery [18]. Cutaneous delivery refers to the delivery of a drug to the site of application where the drug is able to target the peripheral nerves and soft tissues local to the site of application [19]. Although many reports indicate the benefits and efficacy of topical NSAIDs as anti-inflammatory agents and analgesics, these benefits are contested [20, 21].

Chemistry and pharmacokinetics properties

Diclofenac is a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) and is a weak organic acids (pKa = 4.00). Although this chemical attribute helps the drug to accumulate in
inflamed tissues and improves their effectiveness as anti-inflammatory agents [22]. A number of formulations have been developed to improve cutaneous absorption.

The topical application of drugs is one of the oldest routes of drug delivery [19] as they can potentially achieve similar efficacy to oral formulations due to their ability to accumulate locally and achieve therapeutic concentrations without the risk of systemic side effects [23].

It is established that the tissue absorption properties of topical NSAIDs are favourable with good penetration of the synovial fluid as well as superficial joints. This is evidenced by good cutaneous absorption at the site of application particularly when the formulation of diclofenac includes biocompatible lecithin organogels. As this component aids the absorption of the drug through keratinocytes to access non-myelinated C-fibers and melanocytes of the epidermal layer and also access the cutaneous nociceptive fibers of the dermis. In experimental studies, the efficacy of absorption was found to be dependent on the ability to be absorbed across the epidermal layers [24]. For good absorption, drugs need to ideally be of a low molecular weight (<500 Daltons), possess hydrophobic characteristics to traverse the stratum corneum and be sufficiently hydrophilic to traverse the aqueous epidermis [18].

In clinical studies, the formulation of diclofenac employed can alter the rate of absorption through the use of enhancing agents such as dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) particularly with repeated dosing [25]. Newer topical formulations such as N-(2-hydroxyethyl)-pyrrolidine Epolamine (DHEP) is an epolamine salt which is formulated as a plaster bioadhesive or lecithin enriched gel containing 1.3% DHEP.
which is more soluble in water and non-polar solvents compared to other formulations, its solubility properties allow the drug to interact with the cell membrane to promote its own absorption. penetration through the stratum corneum and concurrently carries hydrophobic and hydrophilic drugs with improved cutaneous absorption [26, 27]. This salt prep unlike other topical preparations such as diclofenac sodium, does not require DMSO to improve cutaneous penetration [28]. Good cutaneous absorption rates of 6-7% of the dose administered can be achieved following repeated dosing with DHEP gel formulations with 1.32% or diclofenac diethylammonium 1.16%. The integrity of the skin, effects of the vehicle and impact of dehydration can alter absorption [29, 30]. The DHEP plaster is absorbed locally [31, 32] with the ability to penetrate into joint space and surrounding soft tissues [31, 33]. It is suggested that the concentration difference of diclofenac DHEP in the synovial fluid was 30% of that found in the plasma at the same time point. Values were significantly different at p<0.05 level with 1.02 ±0.38 µg/L versus 3.62±1.05µg/L [31]. However, the difference in drug concentration between the plasma and synovial fluid can be as high as 60-80% which may relate to the lower levels of albumin in synovial fluid compared to the plasma [34].

Clinical studies also reported plasma concentration of DHEP of 15ng/mL versus 1500ng/mL in plaster formulations and oral formulations respectively [28]. As the plasma concentration is low it is unlikely that the main therapeutic effect of DHEP is due to plasma drug concentrations but related to the ability of the drug to maintain high local concentrations in the peri-articular tissues [33]. These plasma concentration values concur with those achieved by other topical gel formulations [32] and are reported to peak concentrations within 1-2 hours following application [29]. Plasma levels of DHEP patch were also compared to equivalent levels (50 mgs) of oral
voltarol; 1.7±0.9 ng/mL and 1214±750 ng/mL in DHEP patch and oral voltarol, respectively. In experimental studies, the elimination half life ($t_{1/2}$) of diclofenac is about 3 times longer in synovial fluid compared to plasma [35] and reaches a peak at 3-4 hours after a single dose. The terminal half life of diclofenac in synovial fluid is round 5.2±1.1 hours. Steady state blood levels are reached after 4.5 days of drug administration [36]. The Cmax was 10% of that achieved by a similar dose was administered intramuscularly.

Experimental evidence indicates that the depth of penetration of topical diclofenac measured using microdialysis probes assessed the interstitial concentrations in skeletal muscle compared to plasma. The mean Cmax was 18.75 ±4.97 µg/L in plasma compared to 219.68±66.36 µg/L in interstitial skeletal muscle which is a 12 fold difference and is a measure of the unbound drug fraction [37].

In experimental studies, diclofenac is metabolized by cytochrome P450 (CYP2C) and undergoes hydroxylation during phase 1 and conjugation with glucuronic acid to form metabolites either as conjugates or sulfates during phase 2. Up to 60-70% of metabolites are excreted in the urine [29] and up to 30% excreted in the bile. Although others indicate that 65% of the drug is excreted via the faecal route within 0-72 hours [30, 38]. The evidence in support of metabolism and metabolic pathways is conflicting; this may be due to drug instability or the ability of spontaneous hydrolysis of glucuro-conjugated metabolites to reform the parent compound [29].

Clinical efficacy

There are a number of topical diclofenac preparations available for use in patients with OA of knee, hip or ankle or soft tissue injury. These include: sprays, gel, patch,
bioadhesive plaster or lotion. Each product has been assessed and compared with oral forms of diclofenac or similar or alternative formulations [36,39, 40]. Several studies have shown the therapeutic benefits of topical diclofenac and its role in pain management where sufficient concentrations of diclofenac is distributed to the target tissue and in so doing produces a therapeutic effect. There are currently a range of published studies, meta-analyses and systematic reviews, and working party recommendations that have explored the use of topical diclofenac as part of pain management for patients with OA of the knee [41-47], sports injury [19], soft tissue injury [36, 48-50], actinic keratoses [51] and acute migraine attacks [52]. Key studies are identified in Table 1 for OA of the knee and Table 2 for sports injury studies and meta-analyses.

**Randomised clinical trials focused on OA of the knee**

Various formulations of topical diclofenac have been assessed in patients with OA of the knee. Bioadhesive plasters impregnated with DHEP have been shown to relieve pain during rest and improve movement and joint function in patients being treated for OA of the knee [28].

In RCTs involving patients with OA of the knee, 1.5% topical diclofenac induced a significant reduction in pain relief, morning stiffness and improvement in functional mobility and patient global assessment in patients in durations of 4 week [43], 6 week [42] and 12 week trials [46] compared to placebo. In these studies, minor skin dryness was a common complaint in 36-39% of patients. In 5-6% of patients skin dryness lead to discontinuation of treatment [42, 46]. More serious gastrointestinal complaints were limited and cardiovascular side effects were not evaluated.
A drawback of published RCTs is the duration; longer trials e.g. studies greater than 12 weeks are needed that compare a larger spectrum of conditions related to acute and chronic pain in patients with OA of the knee.

**Meta-analyses of RCTS in OA.**

Several meta-analyses have shown that topical NSAIDs such as diclofenac can reduce the symptoms of pain associated with OA of the knee over a period of two weeks [41, 53]. In a recent 6 week study of 622 patients with OA of the knee topical 1.5% diclofenac solution provided equivalent pain relief as oral 50 mg diclofenac capsules. Side effects were reported, topical diclofenac solution contains DMSO which is metabolized to dimethyl sulfone and dimethyl sulfide which causes a garlic like aroma / taste which can be offensive for patients. In addition, topical diclofenac caused dry skin in 27% of patients with 5% of patients experiencing a vesiculobullous rash and significantly fewer gastrointestinal problems compared to oral diclofenac [41]. Patients receiving placebo also DMSO also experienced a rash at the site of application.

However contrasting findings are reported [45]. A recent recent meta-analysis of RCT oppose this view [45]. The pooled treatment effect of topical diclofenac compared to placebo in terms of pain relief was 0.41 (95% CI, 0.16- -0.66) for week 1 and 0.4 (95%, CI 0.15-0.65) for week 2. Topical diclofenac was superior to placebo only for the first two weeks of treatment. The data do not support the view that topical diclofenac is superior to oral drug forms in terms of pain relief or improvements in functional mobility and the authors call for a review of current guidelines for the management of OA of the knee, [44, 57]. However a pooled analysis of 2 RCTs
involving 235 patients with OA comparing DHEP plaster containing 180 mg of diclofenac to placebo [28, 40] and a meta-analysis of RCTs [41] suggest that topical diclofenac is advantageous as a drug of choice in patients with OA of the knee.

**Soft tissue injury RCTs and experimental studies**

The efficacy of topical diclofenac preparations have been assessed in patients presenting with soft tissue injuries, often for short durations. Recently it was reported that topical diclofenac prescribed QID for 14 days also led to significant reductions in pain on forced dorsiflexion in patients with lateral epicondylitis [54].

The effectiveness of diclofenac has been tested using different preparations. In an RCT, the efficacy of diclofenac pyrrolidine gel was compared to similar formulations with the addition of lecithin to treat mild-to-moderate post traumatic knee, ankle and muscle injuries [55]. Efficacy and safety data were collected in relation to measurements of pain on movement. In the first 3 days, the group receiving diclofenac pyrrolidine gel plus lecithin recorded significantly reduced pain compared to diclofenac pyrrolidine gel only. By day 10 of the study, the difference between groups was statistically significant. Findings indicate that the addition of lecithin to diclofenac pyrrolidine gel increases its pain relieving capability to produce a significant and more rapid therapeutic response compared to diclofenac pyrrolidine gel alone.

The benefits of the addition of lecithin to DHEP formulations has also been shown in
patients with shoulder periarthritis and lateral epicondylitis. Findings showed a significant reduction in pain measured in mm by visual analogue scales (VAS): -33.2±26.1mm in DHEP lecithin group compared to -21.2±18.8mm in placebo [27].

Recently the use of bioadhesive DHEP plaster was assessed in patients with soft tissue injuries. The plaster significantly reduced pain intensity as measured by the Huskisson’s visual analogue scale (VAS) by 59.9% compared to placebo 29.9%. DHEP displayed similar efficacy to oral forms of diclofenac. It is also suggested that after the removal of DHEP plaster the duration of pain relief may extend up to 96 hours [56].

Similar studies in patients with sports and soft tissue injury have reported favourable results [19, 50]. Both studies used topical diclofenac patches either containing either 140 mgs diclofenac sodium or 1.3% DHEP to provide pain relief compared to gelatin only placebo. In a two week study a visual analogue scale was used to measure pain intensity. Two hundred and thirteen patients with minor sports injuries were involved. Significant reductions in pain intensity were found compared to placebo on day 3 (p<0.036) and day 14 (p<0.048) [19]. Side effects reported included signs of pruritus in 5% of patients and 17% of placebo patients and gastrointestinal affects involving 6% or nausea involving 10% of patients in both drug and placebo groups.

In a similar study, 120 patients with acute impact injuries following injury involving a blunt instrument reported significant pain relief (p<0.0001) within 3 days of treatment using diclofenac patch compared to placebo [50]. Measurements such as tenderness, pressure and time for resolution of pain were taken over a period of 7 days [50].
Resolution of symptoms occurred in 73% of (n=87) patients recovered within 7 days compared to 6.7% of the placebo group (n=8). Tolerability of topical diclofenac was very good with <10% of patients reporting side effects such as rash, pruritus.

Meta-analyses involving soft tissue injury

In a recent review of 19 RCTs, the efficacy of topical diclofenac as an anti-inflammatory drug used in the management of pain was examined in 3000 patients with a history of OA and soft tissue injury [39]. Findings show that the application of topical diclofenac led to a reduction in pain intensity and inflammation in both chronic and acute conditions comparable to oral formulations of diclofenac and NSAIDs (ibuprofen, naproxen) as well as changes in mobility and functional capacity. Topical diclofenac was superior to placebo. In terms of safety and tolerability, topical diclofenac was associated with fewer side effects compared to oral forms and other NSAIDs, reports of mild skin irritation was noted [39].

Pharmacodynamics

Evidence from clinical and pharmacological studies imply that diclofenac exerts its actions by inhibiting cyclooxygenase (COX) enzyme. There are two forms of the COX enzyme; COX-1 is associated with gastric epithelium; COX-2 is responsible for prostaglandin synthesis. Inhibition of COX-2 reduces the production of inflammatory mediators such as prostaglandins [51, 58], interleukin-6 and substance P. It is also suggested that diclofenac can alter G-protein mediated signal transduction pathways [19] and exerts an enhanced effect on hyperalgic muscle by directly interacting with nociceptors [56].
Additional properties of diclofenac relate to the inhibition of murine angiogenesis and murine colonic adenocarcinoma [59] and collagenolytic and keratolytic effects [60].

**Safety and tolerability**

One of the drawbacks of using diclofenac as part of a pain management programme is the reports of adverse effects such as gastrointestinal bleeding, small bowel injury, upper and lower gastrointestinal harm and acute renal failure [61], anaemia [41]. The use of DHEP and diclofenac sodium patches are efficacious as a method of pain relief without the problems of systematic exposure [36, 50] and accompanying adverse side effects. In the studies available, the possible cardiac effects of topical diclofenac has not been reported or evaluated.

In more recent trials, topical 1% diclofenac gel was found to be efficacious in maintaining pain relief and improving physical function in patients with OA of the hand and knee [62, 63]. Although some studies were of short duration they offer additional findings regarding the use of gel preparations [63]. In this study topical diclofenac was well tolerated with up to 48% of patients reported the drug as good or excellent in the management of their pain. Findings from a recent trial indicates that DHEP patch significantly reduced pain in patients with sports., localized strains and sprains with limited side effects reported [49].

A recent meta-analysis indicates that only 2.3% of patients administering DHEP developed mild cutaneous side effects such as urticaria and rash [28]. These findings contrast with a recent meta-analysis that reported that up to 15-20% of patients treated
with topical NSAIDs experienced similar cutaneous side effects including pruritus [45]. This higher incidence concurs with published data particularly regarding the safety and tolerability data involving older people [64, 65].

**Conclusions**

Current studies indicate that topical diclofenac is a safe, well tolerated and efficacious option as a mode of treatment for resolution of pain in patients with OA knee or sports and soft tissue injury. These conclusions are mainly developed from studies that were of a duration greater than 2 weeks. One meta-analyses of shorter duration failed to support this view; this difference in opinion may be due to the formulation or excipient used.

**Expert opinion**

Topical diclofenac has been used to treat a number of soft tissue injuries and OA of the knee in patients who require both short-term and long-term pain relief but also need improvements in functional mobility. Numerous topical formulations of diclofenac are available, of those that incorporate lecithin, they appear to offer additional benefits in terms of cutaneous delivery, ability to concentrate in the synovial fluid compared to oral formulations and offer significant pain relief. Patients benefit from the application of topical diclofenac compared to oral administration in terms of ease of application and reduced systemic effects with only minor cutaneous adverse effects reported. Evidence from RCTs and meta-analyses support the view that topically applied diclofenac is comparable or better than oral formulations with regard to the ability to provide sustained pain relief and improve mobility. Medical
and non-medical prescribers should be encouraged to use topical diclofenac formulated with lecithin as a treatment option.
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Table 1. Characteristics of RCTs and meta-analyses involving OA of the knee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAMETERS</th>
<th>BAER</th>
<th>BOOKMAN</th>
<th>ROTH</th>
<th>TUGWELL</th>
<th>KILMINSTER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>RCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>1 week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug</td>
<td>1.5% dic. Na</td>
<td>1.5% dic Na</td>
<td>1.5% dic Na</td>
<td>1.5% dic Na vs oral dic.</td>
<td>Dic. spray 35 mgs compared to 1% dic gel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain relief</td>
<td>-5.2 vs 3.3, p=0.002</td>
<td>-3.9 vs -2.5, p=0.023</td>
<td>-7.1 vs 5.6, p=0.02</td>
<td>44% dic Na vs 49% oral dic.</td>
<td>Spray had a faster onset of action compared to gel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reduction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical function</td>
<td>-13.4 vs -6.9, p=0.01</td>
<td>-11.6 vs -8.4, p=0.023</td>
<td>-18.5 vs -14.3, p=0.04</td>
<td>39% dic Na vs 46% oral dic.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiffness</td>
<td>-1.8 vs -0.9, p=0.002</td>
<td>-1.5 vs -0.7, p=0.003</td>
<td>-2.3 vs 1.6, p=0.02</td>
<td>39% dic Na vs 45% oral dic.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>improvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGA</td>
<td>-1.3 vs -0.7, p=0.001</td>
<td>-6.7 vs 7.8, p=0.039</td>
<td>-1.5 vs 1.2, p=0.06</td>
<td>43% dic Na vs 49% oral dic.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>improvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side effects</td>
<td>Dry skin in 39% of cases</td>
<td>Dry skin in 36% of cases</td>
<td>Dry skin in 36.6% of cases</td>
<td>Dry skin in 27% of 1.5% Dic Na cases Penesaid was as effective as oral dic.</td>
<td>No reported adverse effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference no</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dic Na refers to diclofenac sodium solution.
Oral dic. Refers to 50 mg oral diclofenac capsules
Pain, physical function and stiffness were assessed using the WOMAC LK3.1 OA index criteria measured on a 5-point likert scale where 0 indicates none, 1 indicates mild, 2 indicates moderate, 3 indicates severe and 4 indicates extreme.
PGA refers to physical general assessment measured using a visual analogue scale from very good (0) to extreme (4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REF</th>
<th>STUDY POPULATION</th>
<th>TREATMENT REGIMEN</th>
<th>EFFICACY</th>
<th>ADVERSE EFFECTS</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Galer</td>
<td>213 patients with history of sprain, strain or contusion of less than 72 hours aged 18-75 years</td>
<td>2 week study patients randomized to either DHEP patch solution or placebo</td>
<td>Pain reduction (p&lt;0.003) at 3 days and (p&lt;0.036) at 14 days compared to placebo</td>
<td>Nausea and GI effects 6-10%, of cases; pruritus in 5% of cases</td>
<td>DHEP patch was efficacious in reducing pain following sports related injury without major side effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predel</td>
<td>120 patients with a history of trauma with a blunt instrument</td>
<td>1 week study randomized to 140 mg dic. patch within 3 hours of injury or placebo patch with identical excipient</td>
<td>73.3% of cases reported a reduction in pain on motion and at rest compared to placebo (p=0.0001)</td>
<td>10% of cases reported minor pruritus or rash in both placebo and drug group</td>
<td>Tolerability of dic. patch was very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carr</td>
<td>418 patients, aged 18-65 years with history of knee, ankle or foot contusion, sprain, or strain</td>
<td>Patients randomized to DHEP patch or placebo</td>
<td>57.8% of patients treated with DHEP patch showed a pain reduction within 3 days compared to placebo</td>
<td>7.9% of drug group and 5.8% of placebo group reported cutaneous reactions at the site of application</td>
<td>Dic. patch was rated as good to excellent in ability to reduce pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spacca</td>
<td>158 patients with history of shoulder pain</td>
<td>10 days cycle of treatment with DHEP lecithin gel or</td>
<td>Pain was significantly reduced by DHEP gel</td>
<td>No major side effects reported.</td>
<td>DHEP lecithin gel was effective in reducing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Outcomes</td>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahler</td>
<td>100 patients with mild to moderate post traumatic injuries to knee, ankle or muscle injury</td>
<td>Randomised to either DHEP gel or DHEP lecithin.</td>
<td>Pain on movement was better with DHEP lecithin treatment at both 3 and 10 days post treatment (p=0.036).</td>
<td>DHEP lecithin is superior to DHEP gel as a method of pain relief for shoulder pain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Towheed</td>
<td>Meta analysis of 4 RCTs involving 1412 patients mean duration of studies 8.5 weeks</td>
<td>Patients were randomized to dic.sodium with DMSO lotion compared to placebo or oral diclofenac</td>
<td>Dic. sodium lotion significantly improved pain, physical function and PGA and was superior in comparison to placebo.</td>
<td>Diclofenac sodium is an effective topical NSAID is a safe alternative for treatment of OA of knee.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brulmann</td>
<td>Pooled analyses of 2 14 day RCTs involving 235 patients with OA knee.</td>
<td>Patients aged 18-85 years with OA knee were randomized to either DHEP plaster or placebo plaster</td>
<td>59.5% of patients receiving DHEP plaster reported a reduction in pain intensity compared to 29.9% of placebo group</td>
<td>DHEP plaster is efficacious and safe as a mode of short-term treatment for OA knee.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>